AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A method for providing concurrency control for a
policy-based management system that controls resources in a distributed
computing system, the method comprising:
receiving a request to perform an operation on a lockable resource from a
controller in the distributed computing system, wherein the lockable resource
includes one of a device, an appliance, a system, and an application, wherein the
lockable resource presents one or more independent locks providing access to
independent sub-units of the resource and whereighthe one or more independent
locks allow multiple controllers to lock independent sub-units of the lockable
resource independently;
wherein the controller and a policy governing the controller comprise a
lockable resource, whereby a policy may control a lock on a second policy and the
second policy may control a lock on a lockable resource;
wherein the controller sends the request in order to enforce a first policy
for controlling resources in the distributed computing system;
determining whether the controller holds a lock on the lockable resource;
allowing the controller to execute the operation on the lockable resource if
the controller holds the lock on the lockable resource;

19

20

allowing the controller to acquire the lock if the controller does not hold

the lock on the lockable resource; and

21	allowing the controller to execute the operation on the lockable resource if
22	the controller acquires the lock.
1	2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the first policy is configured
2	to command resources in the distributed computing system to perform actions so
3	that the distributed computing system operates in accordance with a rule that is
4	enforced by the first policy, wherein the rule governs behavior of resources within
5	the distributed computing system.
1	3. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising throwing an
2	exception if the controller does not hold the lock on the lockable resource and if
ġ	the controller does not acquire the lock.
1	4. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the lock held on the lockable
2	resource expires after a pre-specified lease period, unless the lease is renewed
3	within the pre-specified lease period.
1	5. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the lockable resource
2	includes a resource within the distributed computing system.
1	6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the lockable resource
2	includes a second/policy for controlling resources in the distributed computing
3	system.
1	7. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the controller includes a
2	client in the distributed computing system.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	8. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the controller includes the
2	first policy for controlling resources in the distributed computing system.
1	9. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the controller includes a
2	higher-level policy for controlling resources in the distributed computing system,
3	and wherein the lockable resource includes a lower-level policy for controlling
4	resources in the distributed computing system.
1	10. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein allowing the controller to
2	acquire the lock includes allowing the controller to acquire the lock from a
3	resource that allocates locks to controllers.
1	11. (Canceled)
1	12. (Currently amended) A computer-readable storage medium storing
2	instructions that when executed by a computer cause the computer to perform a
3	method for providing concurrency control for a policy-based management system
4	that controls resources in a distributed computing system, the method comprising:
5	receiving a request to perform an operation on a lockable resource from a
6	controller in the distributed computing system, wherein the lockable resource
7	includes one of a device, an appliance, a system, and an application, wherein the
8	lockable resource presents one or more independent locks providing access to
9	independent sub-units of the resource and wherein the one or more independent
10	locks allow multiple controllers to lock independent sub-units of the lockable
11	resource independently;
12	wherein the controller sends the request in order to enforce a first policy
13	for controlling resources in the distributed computing system;

determining whether the controller holds a lock on the lockable resource;

14

the lockable resource includes one of a device, an appliance, a system, and an

6

	7	application, wherein the lockable resource presents one or more independent locks
	8	providing access to independent sub-units of the resource and wherein the one or
	9	more independent locks allow multiple controllers to lock independent sub-units
	10	of the lockable resource independently;
	11	wherein the controller and a policy governing the controller comprise a
	12	lockable resource, whereby a policy may control a lock on a second policy and the
	13	second policy may control a lock on a lockable resource;
	14	wherein the controller sends the request in order to enforce a first policy
	15	for controlling resources in the distributed computing system;
	16	a determining mechanism that determines whether the controller holds a
01	17	lock on the lockable resource;
4	18	an execution mechanism that is configured to,
./	. 19	allow the controller to acquire the lock if the controller
N	20	does not hold the lock on the lockable resource, and to
P	21	allow the controller to execute the operation on the lockable
	22	resource if the controller holds the lock on the lockable resource.
	1	17. (Original) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the first policy is
	2	configured to command resources in the distributed computing system to perform
	3	actions so that the distributed computing system operates in accordance with a
	4	rule that is enforced by the first policy, wherein the rule governs behavior of
	5	resources within the distributed computing system.
	1	18. (Original) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the execution
	2	mechanism is configured to throw an exception if the controller does not hold the
	3	lock on the lockable resource and if the controller does not acquire the lock.

1	19. (Original) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the lock on the lockable
2	resource expires after a pre-specified lease period, unless the lease is renewed
3	within the pre-specified lease period.
1	20. (Original) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the lockable resource
2	includes a resource within the distributed computing system.
1	21. (Original) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the lockable resource
2	includes a second policy for controlling resources in the distributed computing
3	system.
1	22. (Original) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the controller includes a
2	client in the distributed computing/system.
1	23. (Original) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the controller includes
2	the first policy for controlling resources in the distributed computing system.
1	24. (Original) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the controller includes a
2	higher-level policy for controlling resources in the distributed computing system,
3	and wherein the lockable resource includes a lower-level policy for controlling
4	resources in the distributed computing system.
1	25. (Original) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the execution
2	mechanism is configured to allow the controller to acquire the lock from a
3	resource that allocates locks to controllers.
1	26. (Canceled).

الدريا الماري الماري

3

- 27. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the lockable resource presents one or more independent locks providing access to independent sub-units of the resource.,
- 28. (New) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the lockable resource presents one or more independent locks providing access to independent sub-units of the resource.